

1 Objections to the Specification

2 The Abstract

3 The Abstract has been objected to as being in excess of 150 words (see
4 paragraph 5 of the Office action).

5 In response, the Abstract has been amended to be 150 words or less in
6 length. The Applicants therefore request that the objection to the Abstract be
7 removed.

8
9 Brief Description of the Drawings

10 The Brief Description of the Drawings has been objected to as not referring to
11 Figs. 1-5 as "prior art." (See paragraph 6 of the Office action.)

12 For the reasons stated above (see "Prior Art", page 4 above, beginning at line
13 15) the Applicants contend that Figs. 1-5 do not need to be labeled or described as
14 "prior art" since they were taken from an earlier application to which the present
15 application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120. The Applicants therefore
16 respectfully request that the objection to the specification on these grounds be
17 removed.

18
19 Information Disclosure Statement

20 The Examiner has requested copies of the references cited in the Background
21 section of the application (specifically, "Friction of Rocks", *Pure Applied Geophysics*,
22 116 (1978), pp. 615-626, by J. D. Byerlee, and *Mechanics of Incremental*
23 *Deformations*, by M. A. Biot, New York, 1965).

24 A copy of the Byerlee reference is provided herewith in the enclosed
25 Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement. However, the Applicants' and their
attorney have been unable to locate a copy of the reference by M. A. Biot, which is now
out of print. The Applicants' attorney has attempted to locate a copy from various